

Honorable Richard A. Jones

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

MOIRA GUNSUL,

Plaintiff,

VS.

THE BOEING COMPANY, a Delaware
Company,

Defendant.

No. 2:15-cv-00095-RAJ

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff Moira Gunsul, by and through her undersigned counsel, and alleges upon information and belief as follows:

I. PARTIES

1. Plaintiff Moira Gunsul (hereinafter “Plaintiff”) is a resident of Redmond, King County, Washington.

2. Defendant The Boeing Company is a Delaware corporation that conducts business in King County, Washington.

1 14. Defendant through its agent, Aetna Life Insurance Company, received the
2 completed certification form on April 2, 2014.

3 15. On April 3rd, Plaintiff re-aggravated her low back and thus was unable to return to
4 work on her return date of Friday, April 4, 2014.

5 16. Similarly, Plaintiff was also unable to return to work on Monday, April 7, 2014, as
6 a result of her ongoing medical condition.

7 17. Plaintiff informed her supervisor that she would be unable to work on April 4th and
8 April 7th.

9 18. When Plaintiff returned to work she informed Defendant that she missed work on
10 April 4th and 7th as a result of exacerbating her low back.

11 19. When Plaintiff missed work on April 4th and 7th she was still eligible to take medical
12 leave under the state and federal Family Medical Leave Act.

13 20. Plaintiff returned to work on April 8, 2014.

14 21. On or about April 28, 2014, Plaintiff received a letter dated April 10th from Boeing
15 through Aetna stating that she needed to extend her leave of absence to cover her absences of April
16 4th and 7th.

17 22. Upon receiving this letter, Plaintiff immediately contacted Boeing through Aetna
18 and advised that her absences on the 4th and 7th were a result of exacerbating her low back
19 condition, which she was on leave for, and thus the approved intermittent leave should apply to
20 cover those absences.

21 23. Plaintiff was informed by Aetna that she could not apply her intermittent leave to
22 the April 4th and 7th absences, but instead had to use extended medical leave of absence, which
23 would require additional documentation.

1 24. Plaintiff therefore made an appointment to see her doctor on that same day.

2 25. On April 28th, Plaintiff saw her doctor and requested that her doctor extend her
3 prior medical leave by two additional days to cover the absences for April 4th and 7th because
4 Defendant denied Plaintiff's attempt to use intermittent leave for the April 4th and 7th absences.

5 26. On or about April 29, 2014, Plaintiff's doctor's office contacted Aetna and
6 requested the appropriate certification form to extend Plaintiff's leave of absence under the
7 medical leave act.

8 27. Plaintiff's doctor's office was advised by Aetna that it was not a problem to send
9 in the certification form late "as it happens all of the time."

10 28. Plaintiff's doctor completed the necessary form, indicating that Plaintiff's return to
11 work date was April 8, 2014.

12 29. Plaintiff's doctor returned the completed form to Aetna on or about May 2, 2014.

13 30. On or about May 5th, Plaintiff received a letter dated April 28th from Aetna
14 explaining that her absences on April 4th and 7th were not approved for leave, and that she needed
15 to take "immediate steps to cover her unexcused time." Plaintiff assumed that this situation was
16 already taken care of, and that this letter was another of Aetna's late notifications.

17 31. On May 13, 2014, Plaintiff was terminated from her employment as a result of
18 having incurred unexcused absences on April 4th and 7th, 2014.

19 32. Plaintiff explained to Defendant that her absences should have been considered
20 excused and covered under the state and federal medical leave act since they were related to her
21 low back condition.

22 33. Nevertheless, Defendant terminated Plaintiff's employment.
23

1 34. On May 15th, Plaintiff received a notice dated May 5th from Aetna that her short
2 term disability benefits for her absences on April 4th and 7th were approved, but that her request
3 for medical leave under the state and federal medical leave act were denied because certification
4 had not been received.

5 35. Defendant is liable for all causes of actions as alleged below under the theory
6 agency relationship and/or respondeat superior, regardless of whether the alleged violating conduct
7 was performed by one or more of its employees and/or agents.

8 **IV. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

9 36. Unlawful Discrimination Based Upon Disability - Washington Law Against
10 Discrimination (WLAD). Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in
11 paragraphs 1 through 35 as if fully set forth herein.

12 37. Defendant engaged in unlawful discrimination based upon Plaintiff's physical
13 disability when it terminated Plaintiff's employment in violation of the WLAD (RCW 49.60. *et*
14 *seq.*).

15 38. As a proximate result of Defendant's violation of RCW 49.60 *et seq.*, Plaintiff has
16 been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

17 **V. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

18 39. Washington's Family Leave Act (WFLA). Plaintiff incorporates by reference the
19 allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 35 as if fully set forth herein.

20 40. Defendant's conduct as alleged above violated the WFLA (RCW 49.78 *et seq.*)
21 because it interfered with, restrained, or denied Plaintiff's right to exercise her rights under the
22 WFLA.
23

1 41. As a proximate result of Defendant's violation of RCW 49.78 et seq., Plaintiff has
2 been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial, including liquidated damages as permitted by
3 law.

4 **VI. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

5 42. Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA). Plaintiff incorporates by reference the
6 allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 35 as if fully set forth herein.

7 43. Defendant's conduct as alleged above violated the FMLA (29 USC §2601 *et seq.*)
8 because it interfered with, restrained, or denied Plaintiff's right to exercise her rights under the
9 WFLA.

10 44. As a proximate result of Defendant's violation of 29 USC §2601 et seq., Plaintiff
11 has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial, including liquidated damages as permitted
12 by law.

13 **VII. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

14 45. Wage Deductions. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in
15 paragraphs 1 through 35 as if fully set forth herein.

16 46. By unlawfully denying Plaintiff leave and terminating her, Defendant willfully
17 deprived Plaintiff of her wages in violation of RCW 49.52, et seq.

18 47. As a proximate result of Defendant's violation of RCW 49.52 et seq., Plaintiff has
19 been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial, including double damages for the willfulness of
20 Defendant's conduct.

21 48. Plaintiff further reserves the right to amend or supplement her complaint to include
22 other facts or causes of action as necessary.
23

VIII. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

49. Breach of Promise. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 35 as if fully set forth herein.

50. Defendant maintained an employment handbook, and/or similar documents, which created a promise of specific job security, including a right to take medical leave without being terminated.

51. Plaintiff justifiably relied upon that promise.

52. Defendant breached that promise by terminating Plaintiff.

53. As a proximate result of Defendant's breach, Plaintiff has been damaged in an amount to be proven at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for the following relief against Defendant:

1. Judgment for all damages permitted by law, including but not limited to, back and front pay, accrued interest, and benefits;

2. Judgment for liquidated damages as permitted by law;

3. Judgment for double damages for the willfulness of Defendant's conduct;

4. Pre and post-judgment interest;

5. An award of attorney's fees and costs as permitted under RCW 49.52 et seq., 49.60 et seq., 49.78 et seq., and 29 USC §2601 et seq.; and

6. For such other and further relief as this court deems just and equitable.

///

///

///

1 DATED this 30th day of January 2015.

2 PREMIER LAW GROUP, PLLC

3 /s/Patrick J. Kang

4 **Patrick J. Kang**, WSBA #30726
5 Of Counsel for Moira Gunsul
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23